arXiv:1409.2166v1 [math.DS] 7 Sep 2014

Chaos in Dynamics of a Family of Transcendental Meromorphic Functions

M. Sajid* and G. P. Kapoor**

*College of Engineering, Qassim University, Buraidah, Saudi Arabia
Email: msajid@qec.edu.sa
**Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India
Email: gp@iitk.ac.in

Abstract

The characterization and properties of Julia sets of one parameter family of transcendental meromorphic functions $\zeta_\lambda(z) = \lambda\frac{z}{z+1}e^{-z}$, $\lambda > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is investigated in the present paper. It is found that bifurcations in the dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, occur at several parameter values and the dynamics of the family becomes chaotic when the parameter λ crosses certain values. The Lyapunov exponent of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for certain values of the parameter λ is computed for quantifying the chaos in its dynamics. The characterization of the Julia set of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ as complement of the basin of attraction of an attracting real fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ is found here and is applied to computationally simulate the images of the Julia sets of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$. Further, it is established that the Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda > (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}}$ contains the complement of attracting periodic orbits of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. Finally, the results on the dynamics of functions $\lambda\tan z$, $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, $E_\lambda(z) = \lambda\frac{e^z-1}{z}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $f_\lambda = \lambda f(z)$, $\lambda > 0$, where $f(z)$ has certain properties, are compared with the results found in the present paper.

Keywords and phrases: Bifurcation, chaos, iteration, Julia set, Fatou set, singular value, meromorphic functions, periodic points.

1 Introduction

The dynamical system (X, f_λ), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, when the function f_λ is in a certain class of polynomials, is investigated in [4, 5, 11] to explore its global dynamical behaviour. This study is extended to the family of entire functions in [6, 10, 15, 17, 23] that provides powerful mathematical techniques and

beautiful computer graphics. Since the Julia sets occur as one of the crucial component in these investigations, their various characterizations and identification of their intrinsic properties are primarily developed in these studies. The work in this direction has also found applications in a number of diverse science and engineering areas wherein simulations of objects of fractal nature are needed [12, 13, 19, 20]. However, similar investigations concerning the dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions have been scarcely made. Since, for instance, the iterative processes associated with Newton's method applied to an entire function often yields a meromorphic function as the root finder. The initial study of iterations of transcendental meromorphic functions is mainly found in [1, 2, 3, 7]. Further work in this direction has been pursued in [9, 16, 24].

Let S be the class of critically finite (having only finitely many singular values) transcendental meromorphic function $f(z)$. Baker [2] proved that a function $f(z)$ in the class S has no wandering domains:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in S$. Then, $f(z)$ has no wandering domains.*

Bergweiler [3] proved that a function $f \in S$ has no Baker domains. Thus,

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in S$. Then, $f(z)$ has no Baker domains.*

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the dynamics of a one parameter family of transcendental meromorphic functions $f_\lambda(z)$ that have rational Schwarzian derivative $SD(f_\lambda) = \left(\frac{f_\lambda''(z)}{f_\lambda'(z)}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f_\lambda''(z)}{f_\lambda'(z)}\right)^2$, are critically finite and possess both critical as well as asymptotic values. Despite the Schwarzian derivative in our family being rational, these functions are shown to have their dynamical behaviour somewhat similar to that of Devaney and Keen's function [7], wherein the Schwarzian derivatives of functions in the family are just polynomial. However, certain basic differences emerge in the dynamics of our family of functions and the dynamics of family of functions considered by Devaney and Keen.

The structure of the present paper is as follows: In Section 2, the existence and nature of fixed points as well as periodic points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is described. The dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1\}$, is investigated in Section 3. In this section, it is found that $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}}$ are the bifurcation points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. The Lyapunov exponent of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is computed and the values of the parameter λ are determined for which its Lyapunov exponent is positive,

thereby ascertaining chaos in the dynamics of the function for these values of the parameter. The chaotic behaviour of complex functions in our family is described by Julia sets of its functions. In Section 4, the characterization of Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, as the complement of the basin of attraction of an attracting real fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ is established. Further, in this section, it is proved that Fatou set of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = 1$ contains certain intervals of real line. For $1 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, the characterization of Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ and the property of Fatou set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ is found in Section 5 and is seen to be similar to that in the case $0 < \lambda < 1$. Further, in this section, it is shown that Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda > \lambda^*$ contains the subset of real line consisting of the complement of points attracted to the attracting periodic orbits. In Section 6, the characterization of Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for different ranges of parameter value λ, obtained in Sections 4 and 5, are used to computationally generate the images of its Julia sets. The results obtained in the present paper are finally compared with some of the results found in [7, 8, 15, 21, 22]. It is found that the nonlinear dynamics of functions in our family is little more chaotic than the nonlinear dynamics of the family of functions considered in [7], probably due to having more bifurcation points, although several qualitative features in the dynamics of functions in the two families are similar. Further, it is observed in our investigations that overall visual effect of chaos is milder in our family than in the family of functions in [7].

2 Definitions and Basic Results

Let $\mathbb{C}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complex plane and the extended complex plane respectively. A point w is said to be a critical point of f if $f'(w) = 0$. The value $f(w_0)$ corresponding to a critical point w_0 is called a critical value of f. A point $\alpha \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be an asymptotic value for $f(z)$, if there is a continuous curve $\gamma(t)$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to\infty} \gamma(t) = \infty$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(\gamma(t)) = \alpha$. A singular value of f is either a critical value or an asymptotic value of f. A function is said to be critically finite if it has only finitely many singular values. The basic results concerning singular values and their applications in determining the global dynamics of a function are found in [18]. The Lyapunov exponent is an important tool to measure the chaos. It is known [14] that, for *ith* iterate x_i, a system's behaviour is chaotic if Lyapunov

exponent

$$L(f) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln |f'(x_i)|$$

of the function $f(x)$ is a positive number.

Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \zeta_\lambda(z) = \lambda \frac{z}{z+1} e^{-z} : \lambda > 0, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

be one parameter family of transcendental meromorphic functions. It is easily seen that the functions $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$ have two critical values $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\lambda e^{\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}$, $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\lambda e^{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}$, one finite asymptotic value 0 and have rational Schwarzian derivative $SD(\zeta) = -\dfrac{z^4+2z^3-3z^2-4z+18}{2(z^2+z-1)^2}$.

The nature of fixed points (i.e. the points x satisfying $\zeta(x) = x$) and periodic points (i.e. the points x satisfying $\zeta^n(x) = x$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$) of the functions $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$, needed in the sequel, are found in the present section.

The number and locations of fixed points of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda > 0$ on real axis are described as follows:

Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, besides the fixed point $x = 0$, the locations of real fixed points of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x) = \lambda \frac{xe^{-x}}{x+1}$ are given by the following: *(a)* For $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has exactly one fixed point and that is in the interval $(-1, 0)$. *(b)* For $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has no non-zero fixed point. *(c)* For $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has exactly one fixed point and that is in the interval $(0, \infty)$, where $\lambda^* = (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}}$. *(d)* For $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has exactly one fixed point.

For $\lambda > \lambda^*$, the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has periodic points of period greater than or equal to 2 in $(0, \infty)$ in addition to having one fixed point. These periodic points are roots of $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \equiv \lambda \dfrac{\zeta_\lambda^{n-1}(x) e^{-\zeta_\lambda^{n-1}(x)}}{\zeta_\lambda^{n-1}(x)+1} = x$. In the case $n = 2$, the periodic points p_{11} and p_{12} of $\zeta_{12}(x)$ are computationally obtained as $p_{11} \approx 0.748218$, $p_{12} \approx 2.43034$ (*Fig. 1*) for $\lambda > \lambda^*$.

The computed value of the fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is -0.314923 if $\lambda = 0.5$ $(0 < \lambda < 1)$ and this value is 0.374823 if $\lambda = 2$ $(1 < \lambda < \lambda^*)$.

The nature of fixed points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for different values of parameter λ is described in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, (i) If $0 < \lambda < 1$, then the fixed point $r_\lambda \in (-1, 0)$ is repelling and the fixed point 0 is attracting. (ii) If $\lambda = 1$,*



Figure 1: Periodic Points of period 2 of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 12$

then the fixed point 0 *is rationally indifferent . (iii) If* $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$*, then the fixed point* $a_\lambda \in (0, \sqrt{2})$ *is attracting and the fixed point* 0 *is repelling. (iv) If* $\lambda = \lambda^*$*, then the fixed point* $\sqrt{2}$ *is rationally indifferent and the fixed point* 0 *is repelling. (v) If* $\lambda > \lambda^*$*, then the fixed point* 0 *is repelling.*

Proof. Since $\zeta'_\lambda(x) = -\lambda \frac{x^2+x-1}{(x+1)^2} e^{-x}$ and the non-zero fixed points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ are solutions of the equation $(x+1)e^x = \lambda$, the multiplier $\zeta'_\lambda(x_f)$ of the fixed point x_f is given by

$$|\zeta'_\lambda(x_f)| = \frac{|x_f^2 + x_f - 1|}{(x_f + 1)} \tag{1}$$

Let $p(x) = |x^2+x-1|-(x+1)$. It is easily seen that $p(x)$ is a continuous function and $p(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, \sqrt{2})$, $p(x) > 0$ for $x \in (-1, 0) \cup (\sqrt{2}, \infty)$. Therefore, from Equation (1), it follows that

$$|\zeta'_\lambda(x_f)| < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x_f \in (0, \sqrt{2}) \tag{2}$$

$$|\zeta'_\lambda(x_f)| = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x_f = \sqrt{2} \tag{3}$$

$$|\zeta'_\lambda(x_f)| > 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x_f \in (-1, 0) \cup \left(\sqrt{2}, \infty\right). \tag{4}$$

Using the Inequalities (2)-(4) of the derivative of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ at its nonzero fixed points, the behaviour of fixed points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, for various values of λ is as follows:

(i) For fixed point $r_\lambda \in (-1, 0)$, by Inequality (4), $|\zeta'_\lambda(r_\lambda)| > 1$. Therefore, r_λ is a repelling fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. Further, since, $0 < \zeta'_\lambda(0) = \lambda < 1$, the point 0 is an attracting fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$.

(ii) Since, $\zeta'_\lambda(0) = 1$, the point 0 is a rationally indifferent fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 1$.

(iii) For fixed point $a_\lambda \in (0, \sqrt{2})$, by Inequality (2), $|\zeta'_\lambda(a_\lambda)| < 1$ so that a_λ is an attracting fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. Further, since $\zeta'_\lambda(0) = \lambda > 1$, the point 0 is a repelling fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$.

(iv) From Equation (3), we get $|\zeta'_\lambda(\sqrt{2})| = 1$. Therefore, $\sqrt{2}$ is a rationally indifferent fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. Further, since $|\zeta'_\lambda(0)| = \lambda^* > 1$, the point $x = 0$ is a repelling fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

(v) Since, $|\zeta'_\lambda(0)| = \lambda > \lambda^* > 1$, it gives that 0 is a repelling fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda > \lambda^*$. □

Remark 2.1. *For $\lambda > \lambda^*$, the periodic cycle of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ of period greater than or equal to 2 may be attracting, repelling or indifferent. For $\lambda = 12 > \lambda^*$, for instance, it is found that $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has 2-cycle periodic points $p_{11} \approx 0.748218$ and $p_{12} \approx 2.43034$, so that $\zeta'_\lambda(p_{11}) = -0.57235$, $\zeta'_\lambda(p_{12}) = -0.65847$. It follows that $|\zeta'_\lambda(p_{11})\zeta'_\lambda(p_{12})| = 0.376878 < 1$ for $\lambda = 12$. Consequently, the periodic 2-cycle of $\zeta_{12}(x)$ is attracting. Similarly, for $\lambda = 18.5 > \lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has 2-cycle periodic points $q_{11} \approx 0.408442$ and $q_{12} \approx 3.56598$, so that $\zeta'_\lambda(q_{11}) = 2.63285$, $\zeta'_\lambda(q_{12}) = -0.383357$ and consequently, $|\zeta'_\lambda(q_{11})\zeta'_\lambda(q_{12})| = 1.00932 > 1$ for $\lambda = 18.5$. It therefore follows that the periodic 2-cycle of $\zeta_{18.5}(x)$ is repelling. While, for $\lambda = 18.44505 > \lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has 2-cycle periodic points $s_{11} \approx 0.409865$ and $s_{12} \approx 3.55911$, so that $\zeta'_\lambda(s_{11}) = 2.60007$, $\zeta'_\lambda(s_{12}) = -0.384606$ and consequently, $|\zeta'_\lambda(s_{11})\zeta'_\lambda(s_{12})| = 1$ for $\lambda = 18.44505$. Therefore, the periodic 2-cycle of $\zeta_{18.44505}(x)$ is indifferent. Thus, for $\lambda > \lambda^*$, the periodic cycle of period greater than or equal to 2 may be attracting, repelling or indifferent.*

3 Bifurcation in Dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$

In this section, the bifurcation in the dynamics of the functions $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1\}$, is investigated. The following theorem gives that the bifurcations in the dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash T_p$, occur at $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = \lambda^*$, where T_p is the set of the points that are in the backward orbits of the pole -1 of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, (a) If $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\zeta^n_\lambda(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in [(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, r_\lambda) \cup (r_\lambda, \infty)]\backslash T_p$. (b) If $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta^n_\lambda(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$*

for $x \in [(-\infty,-1)\cup(-1,\infty)]\backslash T_p$. *(c) If* $1<\lambda<\lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to a_\lambda$ *as* $n\to\infty$ *for* $x\in[(-\infty,-1)\cup(-1,0)\cup(0,\infty)]\backslash T_p$. *(d) If* $\lambda=\lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to\sqrt{2}$ *as* $n\to\infty$ *for* $x\in[(-\infty,-1)\cup(-1,0)\cup(0,\infty)]\backslash T_p$. *(e) If* $\lambda>\lambda^*$, *the orbits* $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ *repel for all* $x\in\mathbb{R}\backslash T_p$.

Proof. Let $t_\lambda(x)=\zeta_\lambda(x)-x$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1\}$. It is easily seen that $t_\lambda(x)$ is continuously differentiable for $x\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1\}$. Observe that the fixed points of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ are zeros of $t_\lambda(x)$. Using the function $t_\lambda(x)$, the dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, for various values of λ, is now described as follows:

(a) If $0<\lambda<1$, it follows by Theorem 2.1 that $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has an attracting fixed point 0 and a repelling fixed point r_λ. Since $t'_\lambda(r_\lambda)>0$ and in a neighbourhood of r_λ the function $t'_\lambda(x)$ is continuous, $t'_\lambda(x)>0$ in some neighbourhood of r_λ. Therefore, $t_\lambda(x)$ is increasing in a neighbourhood of r_λ. By the continuity of $t_\lambda(x)$, for sufficiently small $\delta_1>0$, $t_\lambda(x)>0$ in $(r_\lambda, r_\lambda+\delta_1)$ and $t_\lambda(x)<0$ in $(r_\lambda-\delta_1, r_\lambda)$. Further, since $t'_\lambda(0)<0$ and $t'_\lambda(x)$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of 0, $t'_\lambda(x)<0$ in some neighbourhood of 0, $t_\lambda(x)$ is decreasing in a neighbourhood of 0. By the continuity of $t_\lambda(x)$, for sufficiently small $\delta_2>0$, $t_\lambda(x)>0$ in $(-\delta_2,0)$ and $t_\lambda(x)<0$ in $(0,\delta_2)$. Since $t_\lambda(x)\neq 0$ in $(r_\lambda,0)$,

$$t_\lambda(x)=\zeta_\lambda(x)-x\begin{cases}>0 & \text{for } x\in(r_\lambda,0)\\ <0 & \text{for } x\in(-1,r_\lambda)\cup(0,\infty).\end{cases}\tag{5}$$

Therefore, for $0<\lambda<1$, the dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is as follows: (i) By (5), it follows that, for $x\in(0,\infty)$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)<x$. Since $\zeta_\lambda(x)>0$ for $x\in(0,\infty)$, the sequence $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ is decreasing and bounded below by 0. Hence $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for $x\in(0,\infty)$. (ii) By (5), it follows that, for $x\in(r_\lambda,0)$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)>x$. Since $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is negative and increasing for $x\in(r_\lambda,0)$, the sequence $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ is increasing and bounded above by 0. Hence $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for $x\in(r_\lambda,0)$. (iii) Since $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ maps the interval $(-\infty,-1)$ into $(0,\infty)$, by Case(i), we get $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for $x\in(-\infty,-1)$. (iv) The forward orbit of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for each point in $(-1,r_\lambda)\backslash T_p$ is contained in $(-\infty,-1)$. Thus, by Case(iii), $\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for $x\in(-1,r_\lambda)\backslash T_p$. Figs. 2(i) and (ii) show the web diagrams of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $0<\lambda<1$.

(b) If $\lambda=1$, by Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has a rationally indifferent fixed point 0. This implies $t'_\lambda(0)=0$ and $t''_\lambda(0)<0$, so that $t_\lambda(x)$ has maxima



(i) $n = 10,\ x_0 = 0.05$ (ii) $n = 10,\ x_0 = -0.3$

Figure 2: Web diagrams of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 0.9$

at 0. But $t_\lambda(0) = 0$ therefore $t_\lambda(x) < 0$ in a neighbourhood of 0. By continuity of $t_\lambda(x)$, for $\delta > 0$, $t_\lambda(x) < 0$ in $(-\delta, 0) \cup (0, \delta)$. Since $t_\lambda(x) \neq 0$ in $(-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$, it now follows that

$$t_\lambda(x) = \zeta_\lambda(x) - x < 0 \ \text{ for } x \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty). \tag{6}$$

Therefore, for $\lambda = 1$, the dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ is found to be as follows: (i) By (6), it follows that, for $x \in (0, \infty)$, $\zeta_\lambda(x) < x$. Since $\zeta_\lambda(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$, the sequence $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ is decreasing and bounded below by 0. Hence $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. (ii) By arguments similar to those used in the proof for Case(iii) of (a), it follows that $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in (-\infty, -1)$. (iii) The forward orbit of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for each point in $(-1, 0) \backslash T_p$ is contained in the interval $(-\infty, -1)$. Therefore, by Case(ii), $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The web diagrams of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda = 1$, are given in Figs. 3(i) and (ii).

(c) If $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, by Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has an attracting fixed point a_λ and a repelling fixed point 0. The dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, for $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, is found to be as follows: (i) First let $x \in (\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, a_\lambda)$. Since $\zeta_\lambda''(x) < 0$ for $x \in (-\infty, x_0)$, $\zeta_\lambda'(x)$ is decreasing for $x \in (-\infty, x_0)$, where $x_0 (\approx 1.26953)$ is a solution of the equation $x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 4 = 0$. Since $\zeta_\lambda'(\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}) = 0$ and $-1 < \zeta_\lambda'(a_\lambda) < 0$, by Mean Value Theorem $|\zeta_\lambda(x) - a_\lambda| < |x - a_\lambda|$. Therefore, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in (\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, a_\lambda)$. Next, for each $x \in (0, \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$, there exits $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\zeta_\lambda^{n_0}(x) \in (\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, a_\lambda)$. It follows that $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$



(i) $n = 20,\ x_0 = 0.4$ (ii) $n = 20,\ x_0 = -0.2$

Figure 3: Web diagrams of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 1$

for $x \in (0,\ \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$. Further, for $(a_\lambda,\ \infty)$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ maps $(a_\lambda,\ \infty)$ into $(0,\ \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in (a_\lambda,\ \infty)$. Hence $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in (0,\ \infty)$. (ii) By arguments similar to those used for Case(iii) of (a), it follows that $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$. (iii) The forward orbit of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for each point in $(-1, 0)\backslash T_p$ is contained in the interval $(-\infty, -1)$. Therefore, by Case(ii), $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$. Figs. 4(i) and (ii) give the web diagrams of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$.



(i) $n = 10, x_0 = 0.03, 0.06$ (ii) $n = 10, x_0 = -0.25$

Figure 4: Web diagrams of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 1.1$

(d) If $\lambda = \lambda^*$, by Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has a rationally indifferent fixed point $\sqrt{2}$ and a repelling fixed point 0. The dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, for $\lambda = \lambda^*$,

is as follows: (i) First let $x \in (\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{2})$, since $\zeta_\lambda''(x) < 0$ for $x \in (-\infty, x_0)$, $\zeta_\lambda'(x)$ is decreasing for $x \in (-\infty, x_0)$, where $x_0 (\approx 1.26953)$ is a solution of the equation $x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 4 = 0$. The rest of proof of assertion is same as Case(i) of (c). (ii) By arguments similar to those used in the proof for Case(iii) of (a), it follows that $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to \sqrt{2}$ as $n \to \infty$. (iii) Since forward orbit of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for each point in $(-1, 0) \backslash T_p$ is contained in $(-\infty, -1)$, by Case(ii), $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to \sqrt{2}$ as $n \to \infty$. The web diagram of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda = \lambda^*$, is given by Fig. 5(i).



(i) $\lambda = 9.93026$, $n = 200, x_0 = 0.5$ (ii) $\lambda = 11$, $n = 50, x_0 = 0.2$

Figure 5: Web diagram of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$

(e) If $\lambda > \lambda^*$, by Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has a repelling fixed point 0. Using arguments similar than above cases, the orbits $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ repel for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash T_p$. . Fig. 5(ii) gives the web diagram of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda > \lambda^*$. □

Remark 3.1. *If $\lambda > \lambda^*$, a periodic cycle of period greater than or equal to 2 may be attracting, repelling or indifferent. The dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ in this case is now described as follows: (i) Since, for $n = 2$, $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ has an attracting, repelling or indifferent periodic cycle of period 2 and 0 is a repelling fixed point, the iterations either converges to attracting cycle or keeps moving. Consequently, all orbits of points in $(0, \infty)$ will either attract, or repel, or keep moving indefinitely. Thus, in this case, orbits $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ either attract, or repel, or are chaotic for $x \in (0, \infty)$. (ii) Since $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ maps each point in $(-\infty, -1)$ to a point of the interval $(0, \infty)$, by Case(i), orbits $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ either attract, or repel, or are chaotic for $x \in (-\infty, -1)$. (iii) The forward orbit*

of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for each point in $(-1,0)\backslash T_p$ is contained in the interval $(-\infty,-1)$. Therefore, by Case(ii), the orbits $\{\zeta_\lambda^n(x)\}$ either attract, or repel, or are chaotic for $x \in (-1,0)\backslash T_p$. Fig. 6 gives the web diagrams of dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda > \lambda^*$.



Figure 6: Web diagram of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda = 11$, $n = 50, x_0 = 1.6$

Remark 3.2. *By Theorem 3.1, it follows that bifurcations in the dynamics of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1\}$ occur at several critical parameter values like $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}}$. The bifurcation diagram of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda > 0$ is given by Fig. 7.*



Figure 7: Bifurcation diagram of function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$

In order to quantify chaos in the dynamics, Lyapunov exponents

$$L(\zeta_\lambda) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln \left(\lambda \frac{|x_i^2 + x_i - 1|e^{-x_i}}{(x_i+1)^2} \right)$$

of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for certain values of λ are computed for its fixed points and periodic points. From Fig. 8, with x_0 as a suitable point near a fixed point or periodic point and $k = 2000$, shows the computed values of Lyapunov exponents of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for $10 \leq \lambda \leq 45$. It is found that, for $\lambda = 25, 42$, the values of Lyapunov exponent are positive, while, for $\lambda = 2, 12, 18.5, 38$, these are negative. Thus, it is shown that the Lyapunov exponents of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for certain ranges of the values of the parameter λ are positive, demonstrating chaos in the dynamics of the function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$ for the values of parameter λ in these ranges.



Figure 8: Lyapunov Exponents of function $\zeta_\lambda(x)$, $10 < \lambda < 45$

Remark 3.3. *Our results on complex dynamics in next sections are induced from the corresponding results found in the above theorem on real dynamics of the function* $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$.

4 Complex Dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$

Using Theorem 2.1, the complex dynamics of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is investigated in the present section.

If $0 < \lambda < 1$, by Theorem 2.1, the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ admits the basin of attraction $A(0) = \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : \zeta_\lambda^n(z) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty\}$ for its attracting fixed point 0. The following theorem gives the characterization of the Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, as the complement of its basin of attraction $A(0)$:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then,*

$$J(\zeta_\lambda) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash A(0)$$

Proof. Since $z = 0$ is an attracting fixed point of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$, its only asymptotic value at $z = 0$ lies in the basin of attraction $A(0)$. The critical values of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ are only finitely many and all of these lie on the real line. By Theorem 3.1(a), the forward orbit of every critical value tends to attracting fixed point 0 under iteration. Therefore, all singular values of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ and their orbits lie in the same component of $A(0)$. Thus, it follows that $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ has no Siegel disk or Herman ring in $F(\zeta_\lambda)$. By Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ has only one attracting fixed point and two repelling fixed points on the real axis so U is not parabolic domain. Using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, the Fatou set $F(\zeta_\lambda)$ has no wandering domains and no Baker domains since $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ is critically finite meromorphic function. Consequently, the only possible stable component U of $F(\zeta_\lambda)$ is the basin of attraction $A(0)$.

Since the Julia set is complement of the Fatou set $F(\zeta_\lambda) = A(0)$, the Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash A(0)$. □

Remark 4.1. *By Theorem 3.1(a), for $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for $[(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, r_\lambda) \cup (r_\lambda, 0) \cup (0, \infty)] \backslash T_p$. Therefore, it follows that the union of intervals $\mathbb{R} \backslash \{T_p \cup \{r_\lambda\}\}$ is contained in the basin of attraction $A(0)$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$.*

If $\lambda = 1$, by Theorem 2.1, $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ has a real rationally indifferent fixed point 0. The following proposition shows that the Fatou set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ contains certain intervals of real line for $\lambda = 1$:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $\lambda = 1$. Then, $F(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the intervals $(-\infty, -1)$, $(-1, 0) \backslash T_p$ and $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1(b), $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to 0$ for $x \in [(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)] \backslash T_p$ if $\lambda = 1$. Therefore, the intervals $(-\infty, -1)$, $(-1, 0) \backslash T_p$ and $(0, \infty)$ are contained in the Fatou set $F(\zeta_\lambda)$. □

5 Complex Dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $1 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$ and $\lambda > \lambda^*$

The complex dynamics of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $1 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$ and $\lambda > \lambda^*$ is described in this section by using Theorem 2.1.

If $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, by Theorem 2.1, the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ admits the basin of attraction $A(a_\lambda) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \zeta_\lambda^n(z) \to a_\lambda \text{ as } n \to \infty\}$ for its attracting fixed point a_λ. The following theorem gives the characterization of the Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ as the complement of basin of attraction $A(a_\lambda)$:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$. Then,*

$$J(\zeta_\lambda) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash A(a_\lambda)$$

Proof. By taking the fixed point a_λ instead of 0 and using Theorem 3.1(c) instead of Theorem 3.1(a), the proof of theorem follows on the lines of proof similar to that of Theorem 4.1. □

Remark 5.1. *By Theorem 3.1(c), for $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, $\zeta_\lambda^n(x) \to a_\lambda$ as $n \to \infty$ for $[(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)] \backslash T_p$. Therefore, it follows that the interval $\mathbb{R} \backslash \{T_p \cup \{0\}\}$ is contained in the basin of attraction $A(a_\lambda)$ for $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$.*

The following proposition shows that the Fatou set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = \lambda^*$ contains certain intervals of real line:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Then, $F(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the intervals $(-\infty, -1)$, $(-1, 0) \backslash T_p$, $(0, \sqrt{2})$ and $(\sqrt{2}, \infty)$.*

Proof. By taking the fixed point a_λ instead of 0 and using Theorem 3.1(d) instead of Theorem 3.1(b), the proof of proposition follows on the lines of proof similar to that of Proposition 4.1. □

Let P_a be a set of attracting periodic orbits of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$. The following theorem describes the complex dynamics of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\lambda > \lambda^*$ showing that the Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the subset of real line consisting of the complement of attracting periodic orbits of $\zeta_\lambda(x)$:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\zeta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, $\lambda > \lambda^*$. Then, $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the set $\mathbb{R} \backslash P_a$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.1, for $\lambda > \lambda^*$, it follows that all points on $\mathbb{R}\backslash T_p$ either attract, or repel, or are chaotic. Therefore, Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the set $\mathbb{R}\backslash\{T_p \cup P_a\}$. Since pole and preimages of the pole are contained in $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ and attracting orbits are contained in the Fatou set, it follows that $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ contains the set $\mathbb{R}\backslash P_a$. □

Remark 5.2. *The results in Theorems 4.1, 5.1 and 5.2 are obtained for one parameter family of functions $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ when λ is a real parameter. The ergodic properties of the Julia sets in this case and analogous investigations, when λ is a complex parameter, is to follow in a subsequent work.*

6 Simulations and Comparisons

The characterizations of the Julia set $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ in Theorems 4.1, 5.1 and 5.2 give the following algorithm to computationally generate the images of the Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$: **(i)** Select a window W in the plane and divide W into $k \times k$ grids of width d. **(ii)** For the midpoint of each grid (i.e. pixel), compute the orbit upto a maximum of N iterations. **(iii)** If, at $i < N$, the modulus of the orbit is greater than some given bound M, the original pixel is colored red and the iterations are stopped. **(iv)** If no pixel in the modulus of the orbit ever becomes greater than M, the original pixel is left as color according to number iterations. Thus, in the output generated by this algorithm, the white points represent the Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ and the red points represent the Fatou set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$.

Using the above algorithm, the Julia sets of a function $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$ for $\lambda = 0.9$, $\lambda = 1.1$, $\lambda = 9.93$ and $\lambda = 9.94$ are generated in the rectangular domains $R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -1.0 \leq \Re(z) \leq 1.0, \quad -1.0 \leq \Im(z) \leq 1.0\}$ upto $N = 250$. The Julia set of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = 0.9$ given by Fig. 9(a) has the same pattern as those of the Julia sets of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for any other λ satisfying $0 < \lambda < 1$. This conforms to the result of Theorem 4.1. The nature of images of the Julia sets of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ have the same pattern for all λ satisfying $1 < \lambda < \lambda^*$. This is visualized in Fig. 9(b) for $\lambda = 1.1$ and is in conformity to the result of Theorem 5.1. The nature of image of the Julia set of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = 9.94$ is given by Fig. 9(d). The Julia set of the function $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for any other $\lambda > \lambda^*$ remains the same as that of $J(\zeta_\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 9.94$. On comparison of Julia sets of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = 9.93 (< \lambda^* = (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}})$ and $\lambda = 9.94 (> \lambda^* = (\sqrt{2}+1)e^{\sqrt{2}})$ (*Figs. 9(c) and (d)*), it is observed that the

phenomenon of chaotic burst is not visible here. The reason probably being just that the orbits are chaotic but these remain bounded after crossing the parameter value.



Figure 9: Julia sets of $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ for $\lambda = 0.9$, $\lambda = 1.1$, $\lambda = 9.93$ and $\lambda = 9.94$

Finally, the comparison between dynamical properties are found here for the functions $\zeta_\lambda(z)$ with the dynamics of functions (i) $T_\lambda(z) = \lambda \tan z$, $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \{0\}$ having polynomial Schwarzian Derivative [7, 8, 22] (ii) $E_\lambda(z) = \lambda \frac{e^z - 1}{z}$, $\lambda > 0$ having transcendental meromorphic Schwarzian Derivative [15] and (iii) $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda f(z)$, $\lambda > 0$, where $f(z)$ has only real critical values, and having rational Schwarzian Derivative [21]. Based on the results obtained in earlier sections, it is observed that although the nature of the functions in the families under comparison is widely different, the dynamical behaviour of the functions in all these families have an underlying differences in the nature of dynamics of functions in our family obtained in earlier sections from that of the family of functions considered earlier, bifurcation occurs at different number of parameter values in our family of functions than the number of parameter values at which bifurcation occurs in the families of functions $T_\lambda(z)$, $E_\lambda(z)$ and $f_\lambda = \lambda f(z)$, the Julia set does not contain whole real line in our family of functions but the Julia sets for functions in the families $T_\lambda(z)$, $E_\lambda(z)$ contain the whole real line and Julia set is not the whole complex plane for any value of parameter λ for our family of functions but it is whole complex plane for the family of functions $T_\lambda(z)$ for the parameter value $\lambda = i\pi$. Moreover, these families have an underlying similarity in dynamical behaviour, all singular values of these families are bounded, the Fatou set equals the basin of attraction of the real attracting fixed point, the Julia set is the closure of escaping points and; Herman rings and wandering domains do not exist.

References

[1] I. N. Baker, J. Kotus, and Y. Lu, *Iterates of meromorphic functions - I*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Sys. **11** (1991), 241–248.

[2] I. N. Baker, J. Kotus, and Y. Lu, *Iterates of meromorphic functions- IV: Critically finite functions*, Results. Math. **22** (1992), 651–656.

[3] W. Bergweiler, *Iteration of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1993), no. 2, 151–188.

[4] B. Branner and J. H. Hubbard, *The iterates of cubic polynomials ii*, Acta Math. **169** (1992), 229–325.

[5] Jiu Yi Cheng, *On the Julia set of the polynomial $f(z) = pz + z^m$ with p real*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **14** (1989), no. 1, 169–175.

[6] R. L. Devaney, *Se^x: Dynamics, topology, and bifurcations of complex exponentials*, Topology and its Appl. **110** (2001), 133–161.

[7] R. L. Devaney and L. Keen, *Dynamics of meromorphic maps: Maps with polynomial Schwarzian derivative*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **22** (1989), no. 4, 55–79.

[8] R. L. Devaney and F. Tangerman, *Dynamics of entire functions near the essential singularity*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Sys. **6** (1986), 489–503.

[9] P. Dominguez, *Dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. **23** (1998), 225–250.

[10] Xiaoying Dong, *On iteration of a function in the sine family*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **165** (1992), 575–586.

[11] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, *Iteration des polynomes quadratiques complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Peris Ser. I **294** (1982), 123–126.

[12] V. Gontar and O. Grechko, *Fractals sets generated by chemical reactions discrete chaotic dynamics*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **32** (April 2007), no. 2, 496–502.

[13] M. Govin and H. R. Jauslin, *Julia sets in iterative kam methods for eigenvalue problem*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **9** (1998), no. 11, 1835–1846.

[14] Robert C. Hilborn, *Chaos and nonlinear dynamics: An introduction for scientists and engineers*, Oxford University Press, 2nd Ed., 2000.

[15] G. P. Kapoor and M. Guru Prem Prasad, *Dynamics of* $(e^z - 1)/z$*: the Julia set and bifurcation*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Sys. **18** (1998), no. 6, 1363–1383.

[16] L. Keen and J. Kotus, *Dynamics of the family* $\lambda \tan(z)$, Conformal Geometry and Dynamics: An Elect. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (August 1997), 28–57.

[17] Tadashi Kuroda and Cheol Min Jang, *Julia set of the function* $z\ \exp(z+\mu)$ *ii*, Tohoku Math. J. **49** (1997), 557–584.

[18] S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi, and T. Ueda, *Holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[19] Masahiro Nakagawa, *Chaos and fractals in engineering*, World Scientific Pub Co, 1999.

[20] H. O. Peitgen, D. Saupe, and H. Jurgens, *Chaos and fractals: New frontiers of science*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[21] M. Sajid and G. P. Kapoor, *Dynamics of a family of transcendental meromorphic functions having rational Schwarzian derivative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), 1356–1369.

[22] Gwyneth M. Stallard, *The Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of meromorphic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **49** (1994), no. 2, 281–295.

[23] Niro Yanagihara and Kayoko Gotoh, *Iteration of the function* $c\ \exp[az+b/z]$, Math. Japonica **48** (1998), no. 3, 341–348.

[24] J. H. Zheng, *Singularities and limit functions in iteration of meromorphic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **67** (2003), no. 2, 195–207.